

RCRA COMPLIANCE MONITORING INSPECTION

1. INSPECTOR AND AUTHOR OF REPORT

David K. Peacock
Environmental Administrator - RCRA Section
Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality

2. FACILITY INFORMATION

Randall-Exxon
Highway 332 East
Grenada, MS 38901
MSD007037278

3. RESPONSIBLE COMPANY OFFICIAL

Mr. Frank Logan, Plant Manager

4. INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS

David K. Peacock - MDEQ
Mr. Don Williams - Plant Env. Coordinator, Randall-Exxon

5. DATE AND TIME OF INSPECTION

September 22, 1995 @ 9:30 A.M. CST

6. APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS

Mississippi Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (MHWMR)
Parts 262 and 265.

7. PURPOSE OF INSPECTION

A Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) was conducted to determine Randall-Exxon's compliance status with the applicable requirements.

8. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Randall Exxon's Grenada, Mississippi is located at 635 Highway 332 East. Originally built in 1960 by Lyons, Inc., the facility was sold to North American Rockwell in 1966, and subsequently sold to Randall-Exxon in 1985. Located in an industrial park area north of City of Grenada proper, the facility is bounded to the north and east by lines of the Central Gulf Railroad, to the west by Highway 332, and to the south by undeveloped wetlands/rural areas. Riverdale Creek runs along the northwest quadrant of the facility's property, and empties into the Yalobusha River, approximately one mile downstream.

Randall-Extron manufactures wheel covers for various automobile manufacturers. Activities associated with the process include parts stamping, rolling, washing, polishing, electroplating, and painting.

The electroplating process generates the characteristic hazardous waste D007 in the form of sediment bottoms and rinsate waters. Wastewater from this process was previously sent to a chrome reduction unit and then to a settling basin, which was part of the facility's wastewater treatment system. Sediment in the settling basin was analyzed and determined to meet the F006 hazardous waste listing. The equalization lagoon, or settling basin, measured approximately 525 x 225 x 10 feet, with a maximum capacity of 2,500,000 gallons of liquid. The lagoon was taken off-line on July 20, 1993 and closure with waste in place was completed in November, 1994.

Rinsate waters are presently recycled. Any overflow/spillage of water from the process areas are cycled to a large concrete in-ground tank called the "destruct pit". Total capacity of the tank is 17,000 gallons. When sufficient amount is collected rinsate water is pumped to a recovery unit inside the plant which distills the water and concentrates the chrome. Any recovered chrome is returned to chrome tanks, and back into the electroplating process.

The painting operation process previously generated waste TCE which met the F002 listing and the characteristic listing D040. The process has been changed and TCE was replaced with tri-sodium phosphate and sodium metasilicate.

The facility previously generated D001 characteristic waste, Safety Kleen petroleum naphtha, in parts washers used at the facility. The petroleum naphtha has been replaced with Non-Haz, a mineral spirits-based cleaner which does not meet the D001 listing.

Currently, the wastes generated at the facility are from two sources. The electroplating operation generates rinsate waters and tank bottoms that meet the D007 listing. Cleaning procedures in the painting operations generate waste toluene, a listed hazardous waste (F005). It should also be noted that corrective interim measures being undertaken by Rockwell are being managed, stored, and manifested using Randall-Extron facilities and supervision.

9. FINDINGS

A visual site inspection of the areas of concern was conducted. Closure activities of the equalization lagoon were observed to have been completed. The cap was completed, graded, and a good stand of vegetation had been started. No visible signs of erosion were seen. Access to the closed

unit was controlled by Randall-Textron's facility fence to the west and north of the unit. No barrier existed on the south and east side of the impoundment. No warning signs were visible from any direction of access.

Randall-Textron's satellite accumulation area for the paint operation was inspected and found to be in compliance. One drum containing waste toluene (F005) was present, properly labeled and closed.

Randall-Textron's less-than-90-day storage area exceeds requirements set forth by applicable regulations. The area is bermed, roofed, fenced and locked, and warning signs are clearly visible. At the time of this inspection a total of eleven (11) drums of hazardous waste were stored in the container area. All drums were properly labelled and had not exceeded the 90 day limit.

Two interim corrective measures operations are presently ongoing at Randall's facility, but under Rockwell's supervision. Approximately 10 feet east of the main building a recovery well has been installed to recover toluene in the groundwater. Current recovery rate for the toluene is approximately 50 gallons per week. All recovered toluene is presently sent off-site for recycling. Another recovery well, approximately 150 feet east of the main building is recovering TCE at a rate of approximately 2 gallons per week. This unit is enclosed in a covered shed with locking door. The recovered TCE is manifested as a hazardous waste (D007). Both units are segregated from unauthorized entrance with "CAUTION -- DO NOT ENTER" tape. No evidence of leakage was observed at either unit.

A record review followed the visual site inspection. Records of interest included training records, inspection reports, hazardous waste shipping manifests, contingency plan, waste analysis, financial records, closure plan, and waste minimization plan. All records appeared complete, and up-to-date. A subsequent review of records on file at this office showed that the final closure was certified on November 18, 1994. Within sixty (60) days of this date, the owner/operator must submit to this office certification that a deed notation has been executed, as well as a copy of the document in which the notation was placed.

10. CONCLUSIONS

Randall-Textron appears to be in violation of the following regulations:

REGULATORY CITATION

1. MHWMR 265.14(c) - a sign with the legend "Danger - Unauthorized Personnel Keep Out"

must be posted at each entrance to the active portion of the facility in sufficient numbers to be seen from any approach to the unit.

2. MHWMR 265.119(b)(2) - Within 60 days of certification of closure of the hazardous waste unit the owner/operator shall submit to the Regional Administrator a certification signed by the owner or operator that he has recorded the deed notation to the facility property in the proper instrument, as well as a copy of the document in which the notification appears.

11. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

It was noted during the record review that no documentation was kept as to any inspections of the closed surface impoundment. Mr. Williams stated that the impoundment was observed on a daily basis during his facility walk-through, but no notation was made of this on existing inspection forms. Since the unit is in the process of post-closure permit issuance no regulatory requirements exist for periodic inspections. While not regulatory bound, it is this Agency's belief that the facility should in some manner document that the closed unit is being periodically inspected and any remedial actions so noted. This procedure for inspection of the unit should remain in effect until the post-closure permit is issued.

12. **SIGNED**

David K. Penrod

10/12/95
Date

13. **APPROVAL**

Jeff B. Barth

10/13/95
Date



TOP-View from top of closed equalization lagoon. Picture taken from south looking north.

BOTTOM-Closed equalization lagoon taking looki west. Note good stand of vegetative cover.





TOP: - Photo showing 55-gallon drum in satellite accumulation area (paint shop). Note that drum is properly closed and labeled.

BOTTOM: - Randall-Textron's less-than-90 day container storage. Eleven (11) drums of hazardous waste were present at time of inspection.



**Compliance Evaluation
Inspection
Checklists**

Table of Contents

General Site Inspection Form

General Facility Checklist

Land Disposal Restrictions Checklist

Generator Checklist

Transporter Checklist

Container Checklist

Tanks Checklist

Surface Impoundment Checklist

Waste Piles Checklist

Land Treatment Checklist

Landfills Checklist

Incinerators Checklist

Groundwater Monitoring Checklist

Financial Requirements

List of Appendices

Part 1

General Site Information

Facility Name: RANDALL - TEXTRON
Address: HWY 332 EAST
GRENADA, MS 38901
I.D. Number: MSD007037278
Contact: DON WILLIAMS
Title: PLANT ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
Phone Number: (601) 226-1161

Type of Ownership:

☐ Federal ☐ State ☐ County ☐ Municipal ☒ Private

Facility Status:

☒ Generator ☐ Transporter ☐ Treatment ☐ Storage ☐ Disposal

Regulatory Status:

☐ Interim Status ☒ Part B Submitted
☐ Permitted ☐ Part B in Preparation

Principal Inspector Name: D. PEACOCK Title: EAT
Organization: MDEQ Phone Number: (601) 961-5220

Inspection Participants:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Representing</u>
<u>DAVID PEACOCK</u>	<u>ENV. ADM.</u>	<u>MDEQ</u>
<u>DON WILLIAMS</u>	<u>PLANT ENV COORDINATOR</u>	<u>RANDALL-TEXTRON</u>

Part _____

GENERAL FACILITY CHECKLIST

Section A - General Facility Standards

1. Does facility have EPA Identification No.? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, EPA I.D. No. MSD 007 037 278
If no, explain. _____

2. Has facility received hazardous waste from a foreign source? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, has it filed a notice with the Regional Administrator? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Waste Analysis

3. Does facility maintain a copy of the waste analysis plan at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, does it include: (264.13) (265.13)

1. Parameters for which each waste will be analyzed? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. Test methods used to test for these parameters? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

3. Sampling method used to obtain sample? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

4. Frequency with which the initial analyses will be reviewed or repeated? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

5. (For offsite facilities) waste analyses that generators have agreed to supply? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

6. (For offsite facilities) procedures which are used to inspect and analyze each movement of hazardous waste, including:

a. Procedures to be used to determine the identity of each movement of waste. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

b. Sampling method to be used to obtain representative sample of the waste to be identified. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

4. Does the facility provide adequate security through: (264.14) (265.14)

a. 24-hour surveillance system (e.g., television monitoring or guards)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

OR

- b. 1. Artificial or natural barrier around facility (e.g., fence or fence and cliff)?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Describe CHAIN LINK FENCE

AND

2. Means to control entry through entrances (e.g., attendant, television monitors, locked entrance, controlled roadway access)?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Describe GUARDHOUSE @ GATE

General Inspection Requirements (264.15) (265.15)

5. Does the owner/operator maintain a written schedule at the facility for inspecting:

- a. Monitoring equipment?
b. Safety and emergency equipment?
c. Security devices:
d. Operating and structural equipment?
e. Types of problems of equipment:

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

1. Malfunction
2. Operator error
3. Discharges

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

6. Does the owner/operator maintain an inspection log?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- a. If yes, does it include:

1. Date and time of inspection?
2. Name of inspector?
3. Notation of observations?
4. Date and nature of repairs or remedial action?
5. Identification of potential problems?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- b. Are there any malfunctions or other deficiencies not corrected? (Use narrative explanation sheet.)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- c. Are records kept a minimum of three years?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Personnel Training (264.16) (265.16)

7. Does the owner/operator maintain personnel training records at the facility?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Date of most recent training: 9/21/95

TRAINING RESPONSIBILITY

HAZ. WASTE TRAINING — D. Williams
SAFETY TRAINING — Chet Melton

Note: Closed
Surface Impoundment
Inspection was not
Noted (D. Williams
stated visual inspection
is done daily)

How long are they kept?

PERMANENT

a. If yes, do they include:

1. Job title and written job description of each position?
2. Description of type and amount of training?
3. Records of training given to facility personnel?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Requirements for Ignitable, Reactive, or Incompatible Waste
(264.17) (265.17)

8. Does facility handle ignitable or reactive wastes?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, is waste separated and confined from sources of ignition or reaction (open flames, smoking, cutting and welding, hot surfaces, frictional heat), sparks (static, electrical, or mechanical), spontaneous ignition (e.g., from heat-producing chemical reactions), and radiant heat?

1. If yes, use narrative explanation sheet to describe separation and confinement procedures.
2. If no, use narrative explanation sheet to describe sources of ignition or reaction.

b. Are smoking and open flames confined to specifically designated locations?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

c. Are "No Smoking" signs posted in hazardous areas?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

d. Are precautions documented (Part 264 only)?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

9. Check containers

a. Are containers leaking or corroding?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

b. Is there evidence of heat generation from incompatible wastes?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

Section B - Preparedness and Prevention

1. Is there evidence of fire, explosion, or contamination of the environment? (264.31) (265.31)

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

If yes, use narrative explanation sheet to explain.

2. Is the facility equipped with: (264.32) (265.32)

a. Internal communication or alarm system?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

1. Is it easily accessible in case of emergency? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

b. Telephone or two-way radio to call emergency response personnel?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

c. Portable fire extinguishers, fire control equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

d. Water of adequate volume of hoses, sprinklers, or water spray system?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

1. Describe source of water 200,000 gal water tank

3. Is there sufficient aisle space to allow unobstructed movement of personnel and equipment? (264.35)(265.35)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

4. Has the owner/operator made arrangements with the local authorities to familiarize them with characteristics of the facility? (Layout of facility, properties of hazardous waste handled and associated hazards, places where facility personnel would normally be working, entrances to roads inside facility, possible evacuation routes.)

(264.37) (265.37)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

5. In the case that more than one police or fire department might respond, is there a designated primary authority? (264.37) (265.37)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, name primary authority

GRENDON FIRE Dept

6. Does the owner/operator have phone numbers of and agreements with State emergency response teams, emergency response contractors, and equipment suppliers?

(264.37) (265.37)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. Are they really available to all personnel?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

7. Has the owner/operator arranged to familiarize local hospitals with the properties of hazardous waste handled and types of injuries that could result from fires, explosions, or releases at the facility? (264.37) (265.37)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

8. If State or local authorities declined to enter into agreements, is this entered in the operating record? (264.37) (265.37)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Contingency
Plan on
site

Section C - Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures

1. Is a contingency plan maintained at the facility?
(264.53) (265.53) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, is it a revised SPCC Plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Does contingency plan include: (264.52) (265.52)
1. Arrangements with local emergency response organizations? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Emergency coordinator's names, phone numbers and addresses? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
3. List of all emergency equipment at facility and descriptions of equipment? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
4. Evacuation plan for facility personnel? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Is there an emergency coordinator on site or on call at all times? (264.55) (265.55) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section D - Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting

1. Does facility receive waste from offsite? (264.71) (265.71) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, does the owner/operator retain copies of all manifests? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
1. Are the manifests signed and dated and returned to the generator? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
2. Is a signed copy given to the transporter? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
2. Does the facility receive any waste from a rail or water (bulk shipment) transporter? (264.71) (265.71) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, is it accompanied by a shipping paper? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
1. Does the owner/operator sign and date the shipping paper and return a copy to the generator? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
2. Is a signed copy given to the transporter? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
3. Has the owner/operator received any shipments of waste that were inconsistent with the manifest (manifest discrepancies)? (264.72) (265.72) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, has he attempted to reconcile the discrepancy with the generator and transporter? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
1. If no, has Regional Administrator been notified? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

4. Does the owner/operator keep a written operating record at the facility? (264.73) (265.73)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

a. If yes, does it include:

1. Description and quantity of each hazardous waste received?
2. Methods and dates of treatment, storage, and disposal?
3. Location and quantity of each hazardous waste at each location?
4. Cross-references to manifests/shipping papers?
5. Records and results of waste analyses?
6. Report of incidents involving implementation of the contingency plan?
7. Records and results of required inspections?
8. Monitoring, testing, and analytical data, for groundwater required by Subpart F?
9. Closure cost estimates and, for disposal facilities, post-closure cost estimates (Part 264)?
10. Notices of generators as specified in Section 264.12(b) (Part 264)?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

b. Does facility have copy of permit on site?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

5. Does the facility submit a biennial report by March 1 every even-numbered year? (264.75) (265.75)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, do reports contain the following information:

1. EPA I.D. number?
2. Date and year covered by report?
3. Description/quantity of hazardous waste?
4. Treatment, storage, and disposal methods?
5. Monitoring data under Section 265.94(a)(2) and (b)(2) (Part 265)?
6. Most recent closure and post-closure cost estimates?
7. For TSD generators, description of efforts to reduce volume/toxicity of waste generated, and actual comparisons with previous year?
8. Certification signed by owner/operator?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

6. Has the facility received any waste (that does not come under the small generator exclusion) not accompanied by a manifest? (264.76) (265.76)

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, has he submitted an unmanifested waste report to the Executive Director?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

permit
not iss

7. Does the facility submit to the Executive Director reports on releases, fires, and explosions; contamination and monitoring data; and facility closure?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Part ____

LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS CHECKLIST

Section A - General

1. Are hazardous wastes land-disposed on site? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, are one or more of the following circumstances true:

1. Granted extension from effective date pursuant to Section 268.5? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

2. Granted exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under Section 268.6? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

3. Disposing of soil or debris resulting from a CERCLA response action or a RCRA corrective action, which will not be prohibited until November 8, 1990? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

4. Facility is a small quantity generator of less than 100 kg of hazardous waste per month? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

5. Wastes not yet prohibited by Part 268? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

2. Are restricted wastes or residuals from treatment of a restricted waste diluted in any way prior to disposal? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

3. Are there active surface impoundments used for treatment of hazardous wastes? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, does the unit's design and operation meet the requirements set forth in Section 268.4? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

4. Has the facility sought exemption from any prohibition under Subpart C of Section 268 for the disposal of a restricted hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, has the facility's demonstration included the required components (waste I.D., waste analysis, comprehensive environmental characterization of unit site, QA/QC plan, sampling, testing, modeling)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

5. Has the facility determined whether it generates a restricted waste through waste analysis? (268.7) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, is the facility, in fact, handling a restricted waste(s)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

b. If yes, does the restricted waste require treatment? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- c. If yes, has the generator notified the treatment facility in writing, and does the notification include all required components (EPA hazardous waste number, corresponding treatment standard, manifest number of shipment)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
6. Does the facility handle EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F001 through F005 (solvent wastes)? (268.10) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, do any of the following conditions apply:
1. The generator of the solvent waste is a small quantity generator (not more than 1000 kg/month)? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
 2. The solvent waste is generated from a CERCLA response corrective action? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
 3. The solvent waste is a solvent-water mixture, solvent-containing sludge, or solvent-contaminated soil (non-CERCLA or RCRA corrective action) containing less than 1 percent total F001 through F005 solvent constituents. ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
- b. If no, have any of these restricted wastes began land-disposed (except in an injection well) since November 8, 1986? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
7. Does the facility handle EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F021, F023, F026, F027, or F028 (dioxin-containing wastes)? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, do any of the following conditions apply:
1. Wastes are treated to meet standards of Subpart D of Section 268? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
 2. Wastes are disposed of at a facility that has been granted a petition? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
 3. An extension has been granted? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- b. If no, were these restricted wastes land disposed after November 8, 1988? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
8. Are restricted wastes being treated? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, have any of their associated hazardous constituents exceeded the "Constituent in Waste Extract" (CWE) levels? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Section B - Generator Compliance

1. Waste Identification

a. Does the generator handle the following wastes:

1. Solvent wastes

- (i) F001, F002, F004, or F005 ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
(ii) F003 ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

If an F003 wastestream (listed solely for ignitability) has been mixed with a non-restricted solid or hazardous waste, does the resultant mixture exhibit the ignitability characteristic?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

Note: Appendix A is intended to assist the inspector and enforcement official in determining whether the facility is generating F-solvent wastes, if such wastes were not identified by the facility previously. If you are concerned that F-solvent wastes may be misclassified or mislabeled, turn to Appendix A-1. To assist in identifying potentially misclassified F-solvents, Appendix A-2 presents a list of corresponding F and U wastes.

2. Dioxin wastes (F020-F023, F026-F028) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

3. Potential California List Wastes (see Appendix C) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

(i) D002 ☒ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
(ii) D004-D011 ☒ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

(iii) Any other waste characterized by high concentrations of halogenated organic constituents (HOCs), metals, or cyanides? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

(iv) Any F, K, P, or U wastes subject to "soft hammer" requirements that may qualify as California wastes due to HOCs, metals, or cyanide content? (See Appendix F) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

4. First Third Wastes (See MHWMR 268.10) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

5. Second Third Wastes (See MHWMR 268.11) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

6. (Reserved)

(i) Are any of the above "soft hammer" wastes? (See Appendices D & E) ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

2. BDAT Treatability Group - Treatment Standards Identification

a. Does the generator mix restricted wastes with different treatment standards for constituents of concern?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- b. If yes, did the generator select the most stringent treatment standard for the constituent of concern [Section 268.41(b)]?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

c. F Solvents

Did the generator correctly determine the appropriate treatability group [Section 268.41] of the waste (e.g., wastewaters containing solvents, nonwastewater (i.e., < 1% TOC), pharmaceutical wastewaters containing spent methylene chloride, all other spent solvent wastes)?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

d. California Wastes

Did the generator correctly determine the distinction between liquid hazardous wastes and non-liquid hazardous wastes that contain HOCs in concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/kg [Section 268.32(a)(3)]?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

e. First and Second Third Waste

1. Did the generator ascertain whether restricted wastes were appropriately assigned wastewater or nonwastewater designations (nonwastewaters are > 1% TOC and > 1% suspended solids) [Section 268.7(a)]?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. Is there any reason to believe that the generator may have diluted the waste to change the applicable treatment standard (based on review of process operation, pipe routing, point of sampling)?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

3. Waste Analysis

- a. Did the generator determine whether the waste exceeds treatment standards based on Section 268.7(a):

1. Knowledge of wastes

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- (i) List wastes for which "applied knowledge" was used:

2. TCLP

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

- (i) List wastes for which "TCLP" was used:

- (ii) MHWMR 268.41 lists wastes for which treatment standards are expressed as concentrations in waste extract. Were any wastes handled by the generator subject to waste extract standards not tested using the TCLP?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

If yes, list: _____

3. Total waste analysis

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

4. If files were retained, describe content and basis of applied knowledge determination:

If determined by TCLP or total constituent analysis, provide date of last test, frequency of testing, and attach test results.

Dates/frequency: _____

Note which wastes were subjected to which tests:

Note any problems (e.g., inadequate analysis, variation of waste composition/generation for applied knowledge) _____

5. Were wastes tested using TCLP or total constituent analysis when a process or wastestream changed [Section 264.13(a)(3)(i) or Section 265.13(a)(3)(i)]?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- b. Did the restricted wastes exceed applicable treatability group treatment standards upon generation [Section 268.7(a)(1)]?

List those that exceeded standards: _____

List those that did not exceed standards: _____

- c. Did the generator dilute the waste or the treatment residual so as to substitute for adequate treatment [Section 268.3]

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

6. Has the generator conducted any testing of those hazardous wastes to determine whether the concentrations qualify the hazardous wastes as California wastes?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

If no, has the generator retained records documenting his "applied knowledge" that the hazardous waste is not a California waste?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

4. Management

a. Onsite management

1. Were restricted wastes managed onsite?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

2. For wastes that exceed treatment standards, was treatment in regulated units, storage for greater than 90 days, and/or disposal conducted?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

If yes, TSDF checklist must be completed.

b. Offsite Management

1. If restricted wastes exceed treatment standards, did generator provide treatment facility notification with each shipment? [268.7(a)(1)]:

(i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number?

☒ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

(ii) Corresponding treatment standard?

☒ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

(iii) Manifest number?

☒ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

(iv) Waste analysis, if available?

☒ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

Identify offsite treatment facilities

CHEM WASTE - EMELLE, ALA LAIDLAW - MILLINGTON, TN

2. If restricted wastes do not exceed treatment standards, did generator provide the disposal facility with a notice and certification including:

(i) EPA hazardous waste I.D. number?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(ii) Corresponding treatment standard?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(iii) Manifest number

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(iv) Certification regarding waste and that it meets treatment standards?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Identify land disposal facilities receiving the BDAT certified wastes _____

3. If the generator's waste is subject to a Section 268.5 case by case exemption, a Section 268.6 "no migration" exemption, or a nationwide variance does the generator's records indicate that he or she submits with each waste shipment [Section 268.7(a)(3)]:

(i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(ii) Corresponding Treatment Standards?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(iii) All applicable prohibitions?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(iv) The manifest number?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(v) The date the wastes are subject to prohibitions?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

(vi) Does generator keep records of all notifications/certifications send to offsite facilities?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

List all prohibited wastes for which records are not provided per above [Section 268.7(a)(b)]:

Identify TSDFs receiving any prohibited wastes subject to any exemptions and variances:

4. If handler generates a "soft hammer" waste, does the generator send with each "soft hammer" waste shipment to a TSDF and retain copies of, a notice that includes [268.7(a)(4)]:

The EPA Hazardous Waste Number?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Applicable prohibitions?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

The manifest number?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Waste analysis data, where available?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- (i) Do the generator's records indicate that any soft-hammer wastes are destined for disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment [Section 268.33(f)]?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

If yes, list facility of destination and waste of concern [Section 268.8(a)(2)]

- (ii) Has the generator submitted demonstrations and certifications for each "soft-hammered" waste destined to be disposed in landfill or surface impoundment to the Regional Administrator prior to the shipment of waste to the TSDF [Section 268.7(a)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- (iii) Has the generator retained a copy of the demonstration on site [Section 268.8(a)(3)-(a)(4)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- (iv) Has the generator retained copies of all Section 268.8 certifications sent to the TSDF [Section 268.7(a)(6)] ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- (v) Did the generator submit the demonstration to the receiving facility upon the initial shipment of the waste [Section 268.8(a)(3)-(a)(4)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- (vi) If the Regional Administrator has invalidated the certification, has the generator ceased shipment of the waste and do records indicate that the generator has informed all receiving facilities of the invalidation [Section 268.8(b)(3)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

5. Storage of Prohibited Waste

- a. Were prohibited wastes stored for greater than 90 days? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

If yes, was facility operating as a TSD under interim status or final permit [Section 262.34(b)]?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

If yes, TSDF Checklist must be completed.

6. Treatment Using RCRA 264/265 Exempt Units or Processes
(i.e, boilers, furnaces, distillation units, wastewater treatment tanks, etc.)

1. Were treatment residuals generated from RCRA 264/265 exempt units or processes?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

If yes, list type of treatment unit and processes

If yes, TSDF checklist must be completed.

Section C - Treatment, Storage & Disposal Requirements

1. General

- a. Does the facility conduct waste analysis (total and TCLP) on-site or through a commercial laboratory?

- b. Describe the frequency of sampling conducted by the facility.

2. Treatment Facilities

- a. Has the treatment facility revised its waste analysis plan [Section 268.7(b)] to meet the requirements of Section 264.13 or 265.13?

__ Yes __ No __ NA

- (i) Is the treatment facility conducting TCLP tests for wastes subject to treatment standards expressed as waste extracts per 268.7(b)(i)?

__ Yes __ No __ NA

- (ii) Is the treatment facility using the paint filter test for the California waste residues [Section 268.7(b)(ii)]?

__ Yes __ No __ NA

- (iii) Is the treatment facility testing the pH of California waste residues?

__ Yes __ No __ NA

- (iv) Is the treatment facility testing concentrations (not extracts) in the waste residues for prohibited wastes with established treatment standards expressed as waste concentrations [Section 268.7(b)(3)]?

__ Yes __ No __ NA

- (v) Is the treatment facility testing extracts of the waste residues for prohibited wastes having established treatment standards expressed as extract concentrations [Section 268.7(b)(1)]?

__ Yes __ No __ NA

3. Land Disposal Facilities

- a. Has the facility retained all notices and certifications from generators, storage and treatment facilities [268.7(c)(1)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Are wastes and waste residues tested for compliance with applicable treatment standards and prohibitions [Section 268.7(c)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. Are they being tested in conformance with the frequency specified in the waste analysis plan [Section 268.7(c)(3)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- d. Are the appropriate tests (TCLP vs. total waste) being used [Section 268.7(c)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

4. Storage (Section 268.50)

- a. Are restricted wastes exceeding treatment standards stored (excepting wastes subject to no migration exemptions, nationwide variances, case by case extensions, soft-hammered wastes)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Are all containers clearly marked to identify content and date(s) entering storage [Section 268.50(a)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. Do operating records track the location, quantity and dates that wastes exceeding treatment standards entered and were removed from storage [Section 264.73 or Section 265.73]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- d. Do operating records agree with container labeling? [Section 268.50(a)(2) or Section 264.73 or Section 265.73] ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- e. Is waste exceeding treatment standards stored for less than 1 year? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- If yes, can you show that such accumulation is not necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treatment, or disposal? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

If yes, state how: _____

- f. Was/is waste exceeding treatment standards stored for more than one year? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

If yes, state the owner/operator's proof that such storage was solely for the purposes of accumulation of such quantities of hazardous waste as are necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treatment, or disposal:

5. Treatment in Surface Impoundments (Section 268.4)

- a. Are prohibited wastes placed in surface impoundments for treatment? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Is the only recognizable "treatment" occurring in the impoundment either evaporation, dilution, or both [Section 268.4(b) and Section 268.3]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. Did the facility submit a certification of compliance with minimum technology and groundwater monitoring requirements, and the waste analysis plan to the Agency [Section 268.4(a)(4)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- d. Have the minimum technology requirements been met [Section 268.4(a)(4)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
1. If the minimum technology requirements have not been met, has a waiver been granted for that unit(s) [Section 268.4(a)(3)(iii)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- e. Have the Subpart F groundwater monitoring requirements been met [Section 268.4(a)(3)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- f. Have representative samples of the sludge and supernatant from the surface impoundment been tested separately, acceptably, and in accordance with the sampling frequency and analysis specified in the waste analysis plan and are the results in the operating record for all wastes with treatment standards or prohibition levels [Section 268.4(a)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- g. Did the hazardous waste residue (sludge or liquid) exceed the treatment standards or prohibition levels? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- h. Provide the frequency of analyses conducted on treatment residues: _____

Does the frequency meet the requirements of the waste analysis plan [Section 264.13 or Section 265.13]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- i. Does the operating record adequately document the results of waste analyses performed [Section 264.13 or Section 265.13]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- j. Have the hazardous waste residues that exceed the treatment standards and/or prohibition levels been removed adequately and on an annual basis [Section 268.4(a)(2)(ii)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
1. If answer to f is no and supernatant is determined to exceed treatment concentrations, is annual throughput greater than impoundment volume? (note: sludge exceeding treatment standards must be removed) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- k. If residues were removed annually, were adequate precautions taken to protect liners and do records indicate that inspections of liner integrity are performed? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- l. When removed, were residues of restricted wastes managed subsequently in another surface impoundment? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
1. Were these residues subject to a valid 268.8 certification? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- m. When removed, were wastes treated prior to disposal? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
1. If yes, are waste residues treated on or offsite? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Identify management method: _____

6. Other Treatment

- a. Does the facility operate treatment units (regulated or exempt) (not including surface impoundments)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Describe the treatment processes, including exempt processes: _____

- c. Does the facility treat soft-hammered wastes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

1. If yes, is treatment occurring as described in the generator's certification/demonstration [Section 268.8(c)(1)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Did the treatment facility certify he treated the soft-hammered waste as per the generator's demonstration and maintain copies of all certifications [268.8(c)(1)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
3. Did the treatment facility send a copy of the generator's demonstration and certification to the receiving treatment, recovery, or storage facility [Section 268.8(c)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- d. Does the facility, in accordance with an acceptable waste analysis plan, verify that the residue extract from all treatment processes for the restricted wastes are less than treatment standards or prohibition levels [Section 268.7(c)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- e. Describe frequency of testing of treatment residuals.
- _____
- _____
- _____
- f. Was dilution used as a substitute for treatment [Section 268.3]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- g. Are all notifications, certifications, and results of waste analyses kept in the operating record [Section 264.73(b) or Section 265.73(b)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- h. Are notices provided to land disposal facilities complete with Waste Number, treatment standard, manifest number, and analytical data (where available) submitted for each shipment of waste or treatment residual that meets the treatment standard stating that waste has been treated to treatment performance standards [Section 268.7(b)(4) and (5) and Section 268.8(c)(1)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- i. If the waste or treatment residue will be further managed at another storage or treatment facility, has the treatment facility complied with the 268.7(a) notification and certification requirements applicable to generators [Section 268.7(b)(6)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

7. Land Disposal

- a. Are restricted and/or prohibited wastes placed in land disposal units (landfills, surface impoundments*)

- waste piles, wells, land treatment units, salt domes/beds, mines/caves, concrete vault or bunker?) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Did facility have the notice and certification from generators/treaters in its operating record that all prohibited wastes disposed met standards for generation or treatment [Section 268.7(c)(1) and 268.7(a),(b)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. Did the facility obtain waste analysis data through testing of the waste to determine that the wastes are in compliance with the applicable treatment standards [Section 268.7(c)(2)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- If yes, was the frequency of testing as required by the facility's waste analysis plan [Section 264.13 or 265.13]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- d. Were prohibited wastes exceeding the applicable treatment standards or prohibition levels placed in land disposal units [268.30] excluding national capacity variances [268.30(a)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- If yes, did facility have an approved waiver based on no migration petition [268.6] or approved case-by-case or capacity extension [268.5] or treatment standard variance [268.44][Section 268.30(d), Section 268.31(d), Section 268.32(g), Section 268.33(e)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- e. Were restricted wastes subject to a national capacity variance or case-by-case extension disposed? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- If yes, have the minimum technology requirements been met for all units receiving such wastes [Section 268.30(c), 268.31(c), 268.32(d), 268.33(d)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- f. Were adequate records of disposal maintained [Section 264.73(b) or 265.73(b)]? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- g. If wastes subject to a nationwide variances, case-by-case extensions [268.5], or no migration petitions [268.6] were disposed, does facility have generator's notices [268.7(a)(3)] and records of disposal? [Section 264.73(b) or Section 265.73(b)] ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- h. If the facility has a case-by-case extension, can the inspector verify that the facility is making progress as described in progress reports? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

i. If the owner/operator is disposing of a soft-hammer waste, is he maintaining the generators and treaters (if applicable) notices and certifications [Section 268.8(a)(2)-(a)(4)]?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

1. Is the facility disposing of any soft hammer wastes that may be classified as California wastes?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. Did the facility seek to verify whether these wastes may be subject to all restrictions, e.g., California ban?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Part _____

GENERATOR'S CHECKLIST

Section A - EPA Identification No.

1. Does generator have EPA I.D. No.? (262.12) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, EPA I.D. No. MSD 007037278

Section B - Manifest

1. Does generator ship waste offsite? (262.20) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- a. If no, do not fill out Sections B and D.
- b. If yes, identify primary offsite facility(s).
CHEM WASTE - EMELLE AIA / LAIDLAW - Willington, TN
2. Does generator use manifest? (262.20) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- a. If no, is generator a small quantity generator (generating between 100 and 1000 kg/month)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
1. If yes, does generator indicate this when sending waste to a TSD facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- b. If yes, does manifest include the following information?
1. Manifest document No. ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Generator's name, mailing address, telephone number ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
3. Generator EPA I.D. No. ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
4. Transporter Name(s) and EPA I.D. No.(s) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
5. a. Facility name, address, and EPA I.D. No. ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Alternate facility name, address, and EPA I.D. No. ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. Instructions to return to generator if undeliverable ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
6. Waste information required by DOE - shipping name, quantity (weight or vol.), containers (type and number) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
7. Emergency information (optional) (special handling instructions, telephone No.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
8. Is the following certification on each manifest form? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

This is to certify that the above named materials are properly classified, described, packaged, marked, and labeled and are in proper condition for transportation according to the applicable regulations of the Department of Transportation and the EPA.

9. Does generator retain copies of manifests? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

If yes, complete a through e.

a. 1. Did generator sign and date all manifests? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Who signed for generator?

Name DON WILLIAMS Title PLANT ENV. COORD.

b. 1. Did generator obtain handwritten signature and date of acceptance from initial transporter? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Who signed and dated for transporter?

Name _____ Title _____

c. Does generator retain one copy of manifest signed by generator and transporter? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
d. Do returned copies of manifest include facility owner/operator signature and date of acceptance? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
e. Does generator retain copies for 3 years? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section C - Hazardous Waste Determination

1. Does generator generate solid waste(s) listed in Subpart D (List of Hazardous Waste)? (261.30) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, list waste and quantities (include EPA Hazardous Waste No.) D040, D002/D001

2. Does generator solid waste(s) listed in Subpart C that exhibit hazardous characteristics? (corrosivity, ignitability, reactivity, EP toxicity) (261.20) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, list wastes and quantities (include EPA Hazardous Waste No.) _____

b. Does generator determine characteristics by testing or by applying knowledge of processes? BOTH

1. If determined by testing, did generator use test methods in Part 261, Subpart C (or equivalent)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If equivalent test methods used, attach copy of equivalent methods used.

3. Are there any other solid wastes generated by generators?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

a. If yes, did generator test all wastes to determine nonhazardous characteristics?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

1. If no, list wastes and quantities deemed nonhazardous or processes from which nonhazardous waste was produced (use additional sheet if necessary)

Section D - Pretransport Requirements

1. Does generator package waste in accordance with 49 CFR 173, 178, and 179 (DOT requirements)? (262.30)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. a. Are containers to be shipped leaking or corroding?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

b. Use sheet to describe containers and condition.

c. Is there evidence of heat generation from incompatible wastes in the containers? (262.31)

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

3. Does generator follow DOT labeling requirements in accordance with 49 CFR 172?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

4. Does generator mark each package in accordance with 49 CFR 172?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

5. Is each container of 110 gallons or less marked with the following label? (262.32)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Label saying: HAZARDOUS WASTE - Federal Law Prohibits Improper Disposal. If found, contact the nearest police or public safety authority or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Generator name(s) and address(es) _____

Manifest document No. _____

6. Does generator have placards to offer to transporters? (262.33)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

7. Accumulation time: (262.34)

- a. Are containers used to temporarily store waste before transport?

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

1. If yes, is each container clearly dated:
Also, fill out rest of No. 7 (accum. time)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- b. 1. Does generator inspect containers for leakage or corrosion? (265.174 - Inspections)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. If yes, with what frequency?

DAILY

- c. Does generator locate containers holding ignitable or reactive waste at least 15 meters (50 feet) from the facility's property line? (265.176 - Special Requirements for Ignitable or Reactive Wastes)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

NOTE: If tanks are used, fill out checklist for tanks.

- d. Are the containers labeled and marked in accordance with Section D-3, D-4, and D-5 of this form?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

NOTE: If generator accumulates waste on site, fill out checklist for General Facilities, Subparts C and D.

- e. Does generator comply with requirements for personnel training? (Attach checklist for 265.16 - Personnel Training.)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

8. Describe storage area. Use photos and narrative explanation sheet.

SEE INSPECTION REPORT

Section E - Recordkeeping and Records (262.40)

1. Does generator keep the following reports for 3 years?

- a. Manifests and signed copies from
b. Biennial Reports
c. Exception reports
d. Test results

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. Where are the records kept (at facility or elsewhere)?

FACILITY (D. WILLIAMS) OFFICE

3. Who is in charge of keeping the records?

Name DON WILLIAMS Title PLANT ENV. COORD.

Section F - Special Conditions

1. Has generator received from or transported to a foreign Administrator? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
- a. If yes, has he filed a notice with the Regional Administrator? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- b. Is this waste manifested and signed by a foreign cosignee? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- c. If generator transported wastes out of the country, has he received confirmation of delivered shipment? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Part ____

CONTAINERS CHECKLIST

Section A - Use and Management (264.171) (265.171)

1. Are containers in good condition? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section B - Compatibility of Waste With Container (264.172)

1. Is container made of a material that will not react with the waste which it stores? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section C - Management of Containers (264.173) (265.173)

1. Is container always closed while holding hazardous waste? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. Is container handled so that it will not be opened, handled, or stored in a manner which may rupture it or cause it to leak? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section D - Inspections (264.174) (265.174)

1. Does owner/operator inspect containers at least weekly for leaks and deterioration? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section E - Containment (Part 264) (264.175)

1. Do container storage areas have a containment system? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- a. Is the base free of cracks or gaps? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Is the base sloped or otherwise designed to drain and remove liquids? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. Does the containment system have sufficient capacity to contain 10% of the volume of containers or the volume of the largest container? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- d. Is any method available to prevent run-on into the containment system? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- e. Is spilled or leaked material or accumulated precipitation removed from the containment area in a timely manner? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section F - Ignitable and Reactive Waste (264.176) (265.176)

1. Are containers holding ignitable and reactive waste located at least 15 m (50 ft) from facility property lines?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Section G - Incompatible Waste (264.177) (265.177)

1. Are incompatible wastes or materials placed in the same containers?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

2. Are hazardous wastes placed in washed, clean containers when they previously held incompatible waste?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

3. Are incompatible wastes separated from each other by a berm, dike, wall, or other device?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Section H - Closure (Part 264) (264.178)

1. At closure, were all hazardous wastes and associated residues removed from the containment system?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Part ____

SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS CHECKLIST

Section A - Design Requirements (264.221) (265.221)

1. Does facility operate one or more surface impoundments? Yes No NA
- a. If yes, has owner/operator installed two or more liners and a leachate collection system for any new units, replacement of any existing units, or lateral expansion of units? Yes No NA
- b. Is owner/operator exempt from double-liner leachate collection system requirements because Regional Administrator has determined that impoundment's design will prevent the migration of hazardous constituents? Yes No NA
- c. Did owner/operator notify Regional Administrator 60 days prior to receiving waste (Part 265)? Yes No NA
- d. If impoundment does not have a double liner, is it exempt due to one of the following reasons? Yes No NA
1. Monofill contains only wastes from a foundry furnace emission controls or metal casting molding sand.
 2. Monofill has at least one liner for which there is no evidence of leaking.
 3. Monofill is located, designed, and operated to ensure that no migration of constituents into ground or surface water occurs.
- e. Does owner/operator take measures to prevent overfilling; wind and wave action; rainfall; run-on; malfunctions of level controllers, alarms, and other equipment; and human error (Part 264)? Yes No NA
- f. Is impoundment surrounded by dikes (Part 264)? Yes No NA

Section B - Operating Requirements

1. Does owner/operator maintain at least 60 cm (2 ft) of freeboard (Part 265)? (265.222)
2. Does owner/operator have certification from a qualified engineer that alternate design features will prevent overtopping? (Part 265) (265.222) Yes No NA

Section C - Containment Systems

1. Do all dikes have a protective cover such as grass, shale or rock? (Part 265) (265.223)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Section D - Waste Analysis and Trial Tests

1. Will the surface impoundment be used to: (265.225)

- a. Chemically treat a hazardous waste which is substantially different from wastes previously treated in the impoundment? (Part 265)
- b. Chemically treat hazardous waste with a substantially different process than any previously used in that impoundment?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

2. If the answer in #1 was yes to any questions, has the owner/operator:

- a. Conducted waste analysis or trial treatment tests?
- b. Obtained written, documented information on treatment of similar wastes under similar operating conditions?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Section E - Inspections and Monitoring

1. Does the owner/operator:

- a. Inspect the freeboard at least one each operating day? (265.226)
- b. Inspect the surface impoundment including dikes and vegetation at least once per week and after storms? (264.226) (265.226)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

2. Have any deteriorations or malfunctions that have been found been remediated?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

3. Has the owner/operator obtained a certification from a qualified engineer that the impoundments dike has structural integrity? (264.226)

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Section F - Emergency Repairs, Contingency Plans (Part 264) (264.227)

1. Does facility have a contingency plan?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- a. If yes, does plan stipulate that impoundment be removed from service under the following conditions:

1. Sudden drop in liquid level?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

2. Leaking dike? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- b. Does plan detail the steps to be followed when removing impoundment from service, including:
1. Shutting off flow into impoundment? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 2. Containing any surface leakage? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 3. Stopping the leak? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 4. Notifying Regional Administrator of problems in writing if leaks cannot be contained? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. If impoundment was removed from service, did owner/operator take the necessary precautions to rectify problems before restoring impoundment to service? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- d. If impoundment was removed from service and was not restored to service, was impoundment closed in accordance with an approved closure plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section G - Closure and Post-Closure (264.228) (265.228)

1. Is a closure plan retained at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
2. At closure, did owner/operator:
- a. Remove standing liquids (Part 265)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 - b. Remove waste and waste residue (Part 265)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 - c. Remove liner (Part 265)? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 - d. Remove underlying and surrounding contaminated soil? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 - e. If not, did owner/operator demonstrate to Regional Administrator that the above materials were non-hazardous (Part 265)? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
1. If no, has owner/operator closed the impoundment and provided post-closure care (Part 265)? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA
3. If regulated under Part 264, has owner/operator: (264.228)
- a. Removed or decontaminated waste residues, contaminated system components, subsoils, structures, and equipment, and managed them as hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 - b. Eliminated free liquids by removing or solidifying remaining wastes or waste residues? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 - c. Stabilized remaining wastes to a bearing capacity sufficient to support final cover? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 - d. Covered the impoundment with final cover? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
4. Did owner/operator leave any residuals in place at closure (Part 264)? (264.228) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

5. In post-closure, does owner/operator maintain integrity of cover and groundwater monitoring system, and prevent runoff and runoff? (264.228) (265.228)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section H - Ignitable and Reactive Wastes (264.229) (265.229)

1. Are ignitable or reactive wastes placed in the impoundment?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- a. If yes, are they treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the impoundment so it no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

OR

- b. Is the impoundment used solely for emergencies?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section I - Incompatible Wastes (264.230) (265.230)

1. Are incompatible wastes placed in the impoundment?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

* AT PRESENT RANDALL-TEXTRON IS NOT
MONITORING GROUNDWATER —

Part 4 well system is in place

GROUNDWATER MONITORING CHECKLIST

Section A - Monitoring System

1. Does the facility have a groundwater monitoring system in operation?

 Yes No ☒ NA

- a. If yes, does the system consist of: (265.91)(264.97)

1. At least one upgradient/background well?

 Yes No NA

2. At least three downgradient wells?

 Yes No NA

- b. Are wells identified in the field?

☒ Yes No NA

- c. Are well heads in good condition (i.e. free of cracks)?

☒ Yes No NA

- d. Are well heads locked?

☒ Yes No NA

- e. Do well heads have bumper guards or are otherwise protected?

☒ Yes No NA

Section B - Sampling and Analysis (Part 264)

1. Does the facility obtain and analyze samples from the groundwater monitoring system?

 Yes No NA

2. Has facility developed and followed a groundwater sampling and analysis plan? (264.97(d))

 Yes No NA

- a. If yes, does this plan include procedures and techniques for:

1. Sample collection?

 Yes No NA

2. Sample preservation?

 Yes No NA

3. Analytical procedures?

 Yes No NA

4. Chain-of-custody control?

 Yes No NA

5. Determining the groundwater surface elevation?

 Yes No NA

3. Has facility specified a statistical method to be used in evaluating groundwater monitoring data?

 Yes No NA

4. Is all groundwater monitoring data recorded in the operating record?

 Yes No NA

Section C - Detection Monitoring Program (264.98)

1. Has owner/operator established detection monitoring system to provide reliable indications for detection releases? __Yes __No __NA
- a. If yes, are the following components included in the system:
1. Background values? __Yes __No __NA
 2. Determination of groundwater flow rate and direction annually? (264.98(e)) __Yes __No __NA
 3. Determination of statistically significant increases over background concentrations at each well? (264.98(f)) __Yes __No __NA
 4. If there was a statistically significant increase indicated, did the facility notify the Executive Director per 264.98(g)(1)? __Yes __No __NA
 5. Did facility attempt to demonstrate an apparent increase was not caused by a regulated unit per MHWMR 264.98(g)(6)? __Yes __No __NA
 6. Is all information contained in the facility's operating record? __Yes __No __NA

Section D - Compliance Monitoring Program (264.99)

1. Does the facility operate a compliance monitoring program? __Yes __No __NA
- a. If yes, does the facility:
1. Determine the groundwater flow rate and direction in the uppermost aquifer annually? (264.99(e)) __Yes __No __NA
 2. Collect at least four samples from each well at least semi-annually? (264.99(f)) __Yes __No __NA
 3. Determine whether there is statistically significant evidence of increased contamination at each monitoring well? __Yes __No __NA
 4. If an increase was indicated, did facility notify the Executive Director? __Yes __No __NA
 5. Analyze samples for constituents listed in Appendix IX of Part 264 at least annually? __Yes __No __NA
 6. Record all information in the operating record? __Yes __No __NA

Section E - Corrective Action Program (Part 264 only) (264.100)

1. Does facility follow a corrective action program that meets the facility's permit requirements? __Yes __No __NA

Section F - Sampling and Analysis (Part 265)

1. Has the facility developed and followed a groundwater sampling and analysis plan? _Yes _No _NA
- a. If yes, does the plan include procedures and techniques for:
1. Sample collection? _Yes _No _NA
2. Sample preservation? _Yes _No _NA
3. Analytical procedure? _Yes _No _NA
4. Chain-of-custody control? _Yes _No _NA
2. Has the owner/operator established initial background concentrations or values of all parameters specified in 265.92(b)? _Yes _No _NA
- a. Samples collected to establish background quality (from above)? _Yes _No _NA
- b. Samples collected to indicate contamination (from above)? _Yes _No _NA
- c. Elevation of groundwater surface at each monitoring well at each sampling event? _Yes _No _NA

Section G - Preparation, Evaluation, and Response (Part 265 only) (265.93)

1. Did owner/operator prepare an outline of a groundwater quality assessment program? _Yes _No _NA
- a. If yes, did program determine the following:
1. Whether hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents have entered the groundwater? _Yes _No _NA
2. Rate and extent of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituent migration? _Yes _No _NA
3. Concentrations of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents in groundwater? _Yes _No _NA
- b. For each well, has owner/operator calculated the arithmetic mean and variance, based on four replicate measurements for each sample, and compared the results with initial background mean? _Yes _No _NA
- c. Has owner/operator submitted information documenting any significant increase in comparisons for up-gradient wells (or decrease in pH)? _Yes _No _NA
- d. If the comparisons for downgradient wells show a significant increase (or pH decrease), has the owner/operator obtained additional groundwater samples from

those downgradient wells in which a significant decrease was detected? (Samples must be split in two, and analyses must be obtained of all additional samples to determine whether the significant difference was a result of lab error)

- | | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1. If analyses (described above) were performed, and confirmed the significant increase (or pH decrease), did owner/operator notify Regional Administrator within 7 days? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| 2. If analyses confirmed significant increase (or pH decrease), did owner/operator submit to the Executive Director within 15 days after notification (discussed above) a certified groundwater quality assessment program? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| 3. Did owner/operator implement the groundwater quality assessment program and, at a minimum, did he determine the following: | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| a. Rate and extent of migration of the hazardous waste constituents in the groundwater? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| b. Concentrations of the hazardous waste in the groundwater? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| 4. Did owner/operator submit a report to the Executive Director containing the requests of the assessment outlined in No. 3 above within 15 days? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| 5. Did owner/operator notify the Executive Director of reinstatement of indicator evaluation program upon finding that no hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents had entered the groundwater? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| 6. If owner/operator determined that hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents entered the groundwater, did he either continue to make the determinations listed in No. 3 above on a quarterly basis until final closure or groundwater quality assessment plan was implemented prior to post-closure care, or cease to make determinations required in No. 3 above if groundwater quality assessment plan was implemented during post-closure? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| 7. If any groundwater quality assessment program is implemented to satisfy No. 3 above prior to final closure, has owner/operator completed program and reported to the Executive Director, as outlined in No. 4 above? | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| 8. If owner/operator does not monitor at least annually to satisfy No. 3 above, does owner/operator evaluate data on groundwater elevation | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | <u>NA</u> |

obtained under No. 3c in Section F above
to determine whether the requirements for
locating monitoring wells are satisfied?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- a. If evaluation shows that the requirements
for monitoring wells are not satisfied,
has owner/operator modified the number,
location, or depth of the monitoring wells
to bring the system into compliance?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section H - Recordkeeping and Reporting (Part 265 only) (265.94)

1. Unless owner/operator is monitoring to satisfy the
requirements of Section 265.93(d)(4), does owner/
operator:

- a. Keep records of the analyses required in Section
265.92(c) and (d), groundwater surface elevations
required in 265.93(b) throughout the active life
of the facility and throughout post-closure?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- b. Report the following information to the Executive
Director:

1. Within 15 days of analysis for each quarterly
sampling event, does owner/operator submit
results of background concentrations?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. Does owner/operator inform the Executive
Director about any parameters that exceed
maximum contaminant levels listed in Appendix
III?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

3. (Annually) does owner/operator report
concentrations or values of parameters listed
in Section 265.92(b)(3) for each well, including
required evaluations for these parameters under
Section 265.93(b)?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- a. Does owner/operator also identify
differences from initial background
concentrations found in the upgradient
wells no later than March 1 following
each calendar year?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

2. Does owner/operator submit results of the groundwater
surface elevations under Section 265.93(f), along with
a description of the response, if needed?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

3. If groundwater is monitored to satisfy requirements of Section 265.93(d)(4), did owner/operator do the following:

a. Keep records of analyses and evaluations specified in the plan throughout active life and post-closure?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

b. (Annually, until final closure) submit to the Regional Administrator a report containing the results of the groundwater quality assessment program, including the calculated rate of migration of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents by March 1?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Part ____

FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST

Section A - Closure

1. Is facility required to provide financial assurance for closure?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- a. Type of financial assurance FINANCIAL TEST
b. Amount of closure costs \$ 1,230,000
 1. Date of most recent adjustment _____
c. Effective date of mechanism _____
d. Expiration date of mechanism DEC 30, 1995
e. Is instrument adequate? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section B - Post-Closure

1. Is facility required to provide financial assurance for post-closure care?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- N/A
a. Type of financial assurance _____
b. Amount of closure costs _____
 1. Date of most recent adjustment _____
c. Effective date of mechanism _____
d. Expiration date of mechanism _____
e. Is instrument adequate? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section C - Corrective Action

1. Is facility required to provide financial assurance for corrective action?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- N/A
a. Type of financial assurance _____
b. Amount of closure costs _____
 1. Date of most recent adjustment _____
c. Effective date of mechanism _____
d. Expiration date of mechanism _____
e. Is instrument adequate? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Section D - Liability Requirements

1. Is facility required to provide liability coverage for sudden accidental occurrences?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- a. Type of assurance FINANCIAL TEST
b. Is amount at least \$1 million per occurrence, \$2 million annual aggregate? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
c. Effective date of mechanism DEC 30, 1995

- d. Expiration date of mechanism _____
2. Is facility required to provide liability coverage
for non-sudden accidental occurrences? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- a. Type of assurance _____
- b. Is amount at least \$3 million per occurrence, \$6
million annual aggregate? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- c. Effective date of mechanism _____
- d. Expiration date of mechanism _____

CHCKLIST:lr

Appendix II - Less-than-Ninety Day Storage

1. Source/Data: _____

2. Type(s) of waste: D001/D002 D040

3. Condition of containers: GOOD

a. Containers closed?

b. Containers properly labelled?

c. Accumulation dates?

d. Area inspected?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Appendix I - Satellite Accumulation Area

1. Source/Area: PAINT Shop AREA

2. Type waste: WASTE SOLVENT

3. Condition of Containers: GOOD

a. Containers closed?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

b. Containers properly labeled?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

4. If > 55 gallons accumulated, has generator complied with 262.34(c)(2)?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA